	Case 2:20-cv-02148-JAM-DMC Docume	nt 13 Filed 09/22/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DONTA LADEAL KYLE,	No. 2:20-CV-2148-JAM-DMC-P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	PATRICK COVELLO, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to	
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 9, 2021 the Court directed Plaintiff to file an amended complaint	
19	within 30 days. Plaintiff was warned that failure to comply may result in dismissal of this action	
20	for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110.	
21	More than 30 days have elapsed and Plaintiff has not complied.	
22	The Court must weigh five factors before imposing the harsh sanction of dismissal.	
23	See Bautista v. Los Angeles County, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000); Malone v. U.S. Postal	
24	Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987). Those factors are: (1) the public's interest in	
25	expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its own docket; (3) the risk of	
26	prejudice to opposing parties; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits;	
27	and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions. See id.; see also Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52,	
28	53 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam). A warning that the action may be dismissed as an appropriate	
		1

	sanction is considered a less drastic alternative sufficient to satisfy the last factor. See Malone,		
	833 F.2d at 132-33 & n.1. The sanction of dismissal for lack of prosecution is appropriate where		
	there has been unreasonable delay. See Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1423 (9th Cir.		
	1986). Dismissal has also been held to be an appropriate sanction for failure to comply with an		
	order to file an amended complaint. See Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir.		
	1992).		
	Having considered these factors, and in light of Plaintiff's failure to file an		
	amended complaint as directed, the Court finds that dismissal of this action is appropriate.		
	Based on the foregoing, the undersigned recommends that this action be dismissed,		
ı			

without prejudice, for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within 14 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court. Responses to objections shall be filed within 14 days after service of objections. Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal. See Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DENNIS M. COTA

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: September 22, 2021